

**South African National Essential Medicine List
Adult Hospital Level Medication Review Process
Component: Respiratory conditions**

MEDICINE REVIEW:

1. Executive Summary

Date: 1 August 2019
Medicine (INN): Linezolid, oral
Medicine (ATC): J01XX08
Indication (ICD10 code): Multi Drug-Resistant tuberculosis [A15-A19 + (U50.00-01)]
Patient population: Adults with rifampicin-monoresistant or multidrug-resistant tuberculosis
Prevalence of condition: 2.8% of new TB cases are multidrug-resistant in South Africa
Level of Care: Secondary level of care
Prescriber Level: Medical officer
Current standard of Care: 5 drug MDR TB regimen, with substantial toxicities.
Efficacy estimates: (preferably NNT): In Cochrane meta-analysis, NNT = 34 to prevent 1 death (note: high degree of uncertainty however)¹
Motivator/reviewer name(s): Dr. J. Nel; Dr R de Waal
PTC affiliation: n/a

2. Name of author(s)/motivator(s)

Primary reviewer: Dr Jeremy Nel
Secondary reviewer: Dr Renee de Waal

3. Author affiliation and conflict of interest details

Dr J Nel:

- *Affiliation:* University of the Witwatersrand; Co-opted expert to the Adult Hospital Level Committee(2017-2020)
- *Conflict of interests:* AbbVie (Consultation on ARV study); Helen Joseph Hospital (Cryptococcal meningitis research); Mylan (Consultation on ART regimens)

Dr R de Waal:

- *Affiliation:* University of Cape Town; NEMLC Committee member
- *Conflict of interests:* None declared

4. Introduction/ Background

Multidrug resistant (MDR) tuberculosis (TB), defined as tuberculosis resistant to both isoniazid and rifampicin, accounts for approximately 2.8% of tuberculosis cases in South Africa.² In many such cases, the patient's TB strain may be additionally resistant to one or more of the second-line medicines conventionally used to treat MDR TB. Treatment success rates with the current standard of care regimens are suboptimal, owing to several of the constituent medicines having only marginal efficacy and/or poor side-effect profiles. Only ~22% of patients with rifampicin-resistant TB successfully complete treatment in South Africa.³ Thus, there is a substantial need for novel drugs that have better efficacy and/or improved safety. Linezolid is one of the medicines recommended to be either add to existing regimens for drug-resistant TB, or replace one or more of the existing medicines in these treatment regimens. It is one of the recommended drugs in the World Health Organization's MDR TB guidelines.

5. Purpose/Objective i.e. PICO

- P: adult patients with rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis
- I: use of linezolid as part of a multi-drug treatment regimen
- C: standard of care multi-drug treatment regimen

-O: efficacy: culture conversion, treatment success rate, mortality rate; tolerability: grade 3 and 4 adverse events, mortality rate

6. Methods:

a. Data sources: PubMed, Cochrane. Trials included were limited to randomized control trials, systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

b. Search strategy

PubMed: (("linezolid"[MeSH Terms] OR "linezolid"[All Fields]) AND ("tuberculosis"[MeSH Terms] OR "tuberculosis"[All Fields])) AND (Meta-Analysis[ptyp] OR Randomized Controlled Trial[ptyp] OR systematic[sb])

c. Excluded studies:

PMID	Type of study	Reason for exclusion
14973154	Clinical trial	Murine study, no humans enrolled
17519090	Systematic review	Included patients with nontuberculous mycobacterial infections
18787216	Clinical trial	Short-term bactericidal activity study, given as monotherapy.
20629533	Clinical trial	Pharmacokinetics study, healthy volunteers.
21078950	Clinical trial	Short-term bactericidal activity study, healthy volunteers.
22423128	Case report	Single case report
23075177	Clinical trial	Extensively drug-resistant (XDR) TB only, not MDR TB
23131255	Report	Description of challenges faced during a clinical trial of linezolid in MDR patients.
24732289	Clinical trial	Trial was of a different compound, not linezolid
26870788	Clinical trial	Pharmacokinetics study, XDR TB only.
28193240	Study protocol	Study protocol
28739794	Clinical trial	Trial was of a different compound, not linezolid
29120971	Systematic review	Systematic review of salivary vs blood concentrations of TB drugs. Not relevant to PICO analysis.
30496467	Meta-analysis	Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics meta-analysis of dosing regimens. Not relevant to PICO analysis.
28049171	Meta-analysis	Contained too few patients on linezolid to include this drug in the analyses

d. Evidence synthesis

2 RCTs in XDR patients. For MDR patients, only systematic reviews/meta-analyses of observational data could be sourced.

(Note: Study cohorts generally included both XDR and MDR patients; thus evidence table below reviews the same).

Author, date	Type of study	n	Population	Comparators	Primary outcome	Effect sizes	Comments
A. Randomised control trials							
Lee M et al., 2012. ⁴	RCT	41	Pulmonary XDR and hadn't responded to previous regimens	Linezolid immediately vs 2 months later. After sputum culture conversion or at 4 months (whichever sooner), patients randomized again to 300 vs 600mg for 18 months.	Time to sputum culture conversion.	<u>Efficacy:</u> at 4 months, 15/21 (79%) in immediate group vs 7/20 (35%) in delayed group. <u>Safety:</u> 82% of patients had clinically significant adverse events that were possibly or probably related to linezolid.	Complex trial design (2 randomisation episodes, variable doses, variable start times) – difficult to generalize from. Low number of patients enrolled. HIV patients excluded.
Tang S et al 2015. ⁵	RCT	65	Pulmonary XDR	Placebo (each arm received background XDR regimen also)	Sputum culture conversion	Sputum culture conversion 78.8% (linezolid) vs 37.6% (placebo) – no CI given, but p <0.001. Treatment success in 69.7% (linezolid) vs 34.4% (placebo) – p=0.004.	Small numbers. Linezolid given at 1200mg daily for 4-6 weeks, then 300-600mg daily thereafter until two consecutive negative sputum culture results (i.e. variable durations). HIV patients excluded. Patients unable to purchase linezolid were excluded. No long term follow up.
B. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis							
Cox H, Ford N, 2012 ⁶	Systematic review	148 (11 studies)	Patients with MDR or XDR TB	None	Treatment success (variably defined), culture conversion. Adverse events.	<u>Efficacy:</u> Treatment success in 68% (95% CI 58-79%). Culture conversion in 98% (95-100). <u>Safety:</u> Adverse events in 31-79%. Peripheral neuropathy in 36% and bone marrow suppression in 28%. Linezolid stopped due to AEs in 29% for	No control group. Data all observational. Included XDR patients (28%) along with MDR. Very heterogeneous population – many different previous regimens/durations, different doses (300-1200 mg daily), different linezolid durations (1

						≤600mg daily, vs 61% for >600 mg daily.	week-48 months). Poor reporting of study details. Treatment success variably defined. Significant toxicities with linezolid, but variable doses/durations used.
Sotgui G et al., 2012⁷	Systematic review and meta-analysis	121 (12 studies)	Patients with MDR or XDR TB	None	Culture conversion, treatment success (not defined)	Efficacy: culture conversion in 94%, 82% treatment success. Safety: Adverse events from LZD in 59%. Anaemia in 38%, peripheral neuropathy in 47%, optic neuritis in 13%, thrombocytopenia in 11%. Fewer AEs with ≤600mg daily (anaemia in 22%, thrombocytopenia in 10%, optic neuritis 9.8%).	No control group. Data all observational. MDR and XDR patients both included. Only 8.7% HIV positive.
Chang KC et al., 2013⁸	Systematic review and meta-analysis	174 (20 studies)	Pulmonary XDR TB or fluoroquinolone-resistant MDR TB.	Use of linezolid vs non-use of linezolid as part of multidrug regimen	Favourable outcome (defn: sputum culture conversion, cure, or treatment completion in the absence of death, default, treatment failure, or relapse.)	Linezolid use associated with favourable outcome by robust poisson regression model (RR 1.57, CI 1.110-2.24) and random-effects meta-analysis (RR 1.55, CI 1.10-2.21).	Patient population not truly representative of MDR patients in general. Observational data.
Zhang X et al., 2015⁹	Systematic review and meta-analysis	367 (15 studies)	MDR and XDR TB.	None	Favourable outcome as per WHO definitions	Efficacy: Favourable outcome in 83% (75-90%). Death in 9.6%, treatment failure in 10.9%. Safety: Major adverse events in 35% (22-47%). Peripheral neuropathy 31%, anaemia 25%, optic neuritis 8%, thrombocytopenia 7.6%, leukopenia 7.3%. Lower haematological and peripheral nervous system side effects with ≤600mg daily, but still substantial.	XDR patients included as well. Significant heterogeneity in studies included.

Agyeman AA, Ofori-Asenso R. 2016 ¹⁰	Systematic review and meta-analysis	507 (23 studies)	MDR and XDR TB.	None.	Treatment success (most but not all studies similar to WHO definitions), culture conversion rate, adverse events.	Efficacy: Sputum culture conversion in 88% (CI 84-92%). 77% treatment success (71-83%). Safety: Major adverse events leading to permanent discontinuation in 16% (10-23%). Myelosuppression in 33% (23-44), neuropathy in 30% (21-40%). Less myelosuppression with ≤ 600 mg daily.	XDR patients included as well. Significant heterogeneity in studies. Only 3% of patients were HIV positive.
Collaborative Group for the Meta-Analysis of Individual Patient Data in MDR-TB treatment-2017. 2018 ¹¹	Individual patient data meta-analysis	12030 (50 studies)	MDR and XDR TB.	Linezolid vs non-linezolid regimens	Treatment success (defn: cure or completion) vs failure or relapse. Death (all-cause) vs success or failure or relapse.	OR for success 3.4 (2.6-4.5). OR for death 0.3 (0.2-0.3) in propensity score matched multivariate regression.	Observational studies predominantly, heterogenous regimens and locations. Pregnancy and extrapulmonary-only subgroups could not be analysed due to limited numbers.
Singh B, et al. 2019 ¹	Systematic review	104 from two RCTs, and 1678 from 14 non-randomised cohort studies.	MDR and XDR pulmonary tuberculosis	Linezolid vs no-linezolid as part of multidrug regimens	Cure, treatment completion, sputum culture conversion at 24 months, adverse events, death	Efficacy: Cure RR 2.36 (1.13-4.90), treatment completion RR 1.45 (0.45-4.68), sputum culture conversion 2.1 (1.3-3.4). Safety: Adverse events unable to be calculated (lack of reporting on follow-up duration). Death RR 0.65 (0.12-3.62)	All findings reported with “very low” degree of certainty due to risk of bias, imprecision and indirectness. MDR and XDR patients included.

a. **Evidence quality:** Low. No RCTs for MDR (only XDR). Most trials included both MDR and XDR and many did not distinguish outcomes between these two conditions. RCTs enrolled low numbers of patients. Overall, high risk of bias, and considerable heterogeneity in trials with regards to linezolid dosing, duration and background regimen. HIV patients excluded from bulk of trials.

7. **Alternative agents:** There are several MDR regimens that do not include linezolid. However, whether most of the alternative agents are any more efficacious is not known.

EVIDENCE TO DECISION FRAMEWORK

	JUDGEMENT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE & ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
QUALITY OF EVIDENCE	<p>What is the overall confidence in the evidence of effectiveness?</p> <p>Confident Not confident Uncertain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	See evidence quality above.				
BENEFITS & HARMS	<p>Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?</p> <p>Benefits outweigh harms Harms outweigh benefits Benefits = harms or Uncertain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	See evidence synthesis table, above.				
THERAPEUTIC INTERCHANGE	<p>Therapeutic alternatives available:</p> <p>Yes No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>List the members of the group: Moxifloxacin, clofazimine, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, ethionamide, amikacin, kanamycin, high-dose INH, ethambutol, bedaquiline, delamanid (note that routine use of high dose INH is not supported).</p> <p>List specific exclusion from the group: n/a</p>	<p>Rationale for therapeutic alternatives included:</p> <p>It is possible to treat MDR TB without linezolid. Since 4-5 active medicines are conventionally used to treat TB, there will be many cases where alternative medicines could be used, and some of these regimens have a strong evidence base. However, many of the therapeutic alternatives may offer only marginal efficacy, and/or carry significant toxicities and/or mortality risks. In addition, in some cases, there may be no alternative medicine available, depending on the individual patients' resistance patterns, comorbidities and/or side-effects, and the need to provide ~4 active medicines simultaneously.</p>				
VALUES & PREFERENCES / ACCEPTABILITY	<p>Is there important uncertainty or variability about how much people value the options?</p> <p>Minor Major Uncertain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Is the option acceptable to key stakeholders?</p> <p>Yes No Uncertain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	It is noted that the NDoH TB DR Programme recommends linezolid as part of the DR-TB regimen in the NDoH Interim Guidelines.				
RESOURCE USE	<p>How large are the resource requirements?</p> <p>More intensive Less intensive Uncertain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Cost of medicines/ treatment course:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Medicine</th> <th>Cost (ZAR)*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Linezolid 600mg daily x8 weeks (56 tablets)</td> <td>R3049.87</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* Contract circular HP02-2019A1; Linezolid 600 mg 10 tablets: R544.62 <i>Note: 600mg daily likely equivalent efficacy to 1,200mg daily in TB, but with fewer side-effects.¹²</i> Additional resources: N/A</p>	Medicine	Cost (ZAR)*	Linezolid 600mg daily x8 weeks (56 tablets)	R3049.87
Medicine	Cost (ZAR)*					
Linezolid 600mg daily x8 weeks (56 tablets)	R3049.87					
EQUITY	<p>Would there be an impact on health inequity?</p> <p>Yes No Uncertain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The skills to detect serious adverse events may not be available at all levels of care i.e.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optic neuritis/neuropathy • Myelosuppression • Peripheraphal neuropathy 				
FEASIBILITY	<p>Is the implementation of this recommendation feasible?</p> <p>Yes No Uncertain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>					

Type of recommendation	We recommend against the option and for the alternative <input type="checkbox"/>	We suggest not to use the option or to use the alternative <input type="checkbox"/>	We suggest using either the option or the alternative <input type="checkbox"/>	We suggest using the option <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	We recommend the option <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------	---	--	---	--	---

Recommendation: Based on the evidence review, above, the Adult Hospital Level Committee recommends that linezolid not be included in the Adult Hospital Level EML (that enables routine access at all secondary level facilities). The medicine is recommended for use at designated MDR-TB facilities where appropriate susceptibility testing, monitoring and management of adverse events is possible; with relevant support from relevant Infectious Disease experts or Advisory Committees.

It is acknowledged that the short-course DR-TB regimen is a conditional WHO recommendation and is currently administered nationally under operational research conditions.

Rationale: Low quality of evidence for use of linezolid in MDR TB; noting the lack of efficacy and serious adverse events (i.e. optic neuritis/neuropathy, peripheral neuropathy and myelosuppression). It is acknowledged that the results of STREAM II will be available in due course, as this RCT is currently still enrolling study participants, that will further inform decision-making. The need for individualised management of DR-TB requires particular consideration.

Level of Evidence: III Systemic review and meta-analyses of observational studies, Observational studies

Review indicator:

Evidence of efficacy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Evidence of harm <input type="checkbox"/>	Price reduction <input type="checkbox"/>
---	--	---

VEN status:

Vital <input type="checkbox"/>	Essential <input type="checkbox"/>	Necessary <input type="checkbox"/>
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

NEMLC MEETING OF 5 DECEMBER 2019:

NEMLC acknowledged the evidence review done by the Adult Hospital Level Committee; but recommended that linezolid be included on the national EML with a condition – “all MDR-TB cases should be discussed with a designated specialist centre; and MDR-TB medicines to be accessed from these designated centre(s)”.

Rationale: Designated MDR-TB facilities are available at all levels of care - where appropriate susceptibility testing, monitoring and management of adverse events is possible; with relevant support from relevant Infectious Disease experts or Advisory Committees.

Monitoring and evaluation considerations: n/a

Research priorities: Need RCT-level data showing benefit in MDR regimens.

References:

1. Singh B, Cocker D, Ryan H, Sloan DJ. Linezolid for drug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019;3:CD012836.
2. Ismail NA, Mvusi L, Nanoo A, Dreyer A, Omar SV, Babatunde S, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistant tuberculosis and imputed burden in South Africa: a national and sub-national cross-sectional survey. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2018;18(7):779-87.
3. Naidoo P, Theron G, Rangaka MX, Chihota VN, Vaughan L, Brey ZO, et al. The South African Tuberculosis Care Cascade: Estimated Losses and Methodological Challenges. *J Infect Dis*. 2017;216(suppl_7):S702-S13.
4. Lee M, Lee J, Carroll MW, Choi H, Min S, Song T, et al. Linezolid for treatment of chronic extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(16):1508-18.
5. Tang S, Yao L, Hao X, Zhang X, Liu G, Liu X, et al. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of linezolid for the treatment of XDR-TB: a study in China. *Eur Respir J*. 2015;45(1):161-70.
6. Cox H, Ford N. Linezolid for the treatment of complicated drug-resistant tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2012;16(4):447-54.
7. Sotgiu G, Centis R, D'Ambrosio L, Alffenaar JW, Anger HA, Caminero JA, et al. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of linezolid containing regimens in treating MDR-TB and XDR-TB: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J*. 2012;40(6):1430-42.
8. Chang KC, Yew WW, Tam CM, Leung CC. WHO group 5 drugs and difficult multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a systematic review with cohort analysis and meta-analysis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(9):4097-104.
9. Zhang X, Falagas ME, Vardakas KZ, Wang R, Qin R, Wang J, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of therapy with linezolid containing regimens in the treatment of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *J Thorac Dis*. 2015;7(4):603-15.
10. Agyeman AA, Ofori-Asenso R. Efficacy and safety profile of linezolid in the treatment of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob*. 2016;15(1):41.
11. Collaborative Group for the Meta-Analysis of Individual Patient Data in MDRTb, Ahmad N, Ahuja SD, Akkerman OW, Alffenaar JC, Anderson LF, et al. Treatment correlates of successful outcomes in pulmonary multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10150):821-34.
12. Migliori GB, Eker B, Richardson MD, Sotgiu G, Zellweger JP, Skrahina A, et al. A retrospective TBNET assessment of linezolid safety, tolerability and efficacy in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Eur Respir J*. 2009;34(2):387-93.